Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/674,910	MALONE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sherali Ishrat	2621
Il Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Sherali Ishrat</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Gregory M. Howison</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 May 2005	Time: <u>4:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(ExamiNer/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	onature – if annonriato
tevening to a distinction (Whiteaux	white all ohieselitative of	gnature - ii appropriater

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that based on the amendment and persuasive arguments claims are in condition for allowance. However independent claim 12 recites "native image" which is not described in the specification, claim should recite image and in claim 12, line 3, claim recites "which said capture device". Claim should recite said capture device and claim 11 and 18 are new claims and should be indicated that they are new claims. Applicant's Attorney agreed to above corrections by an examiner's amendment.